

On the probability of satisfying a word in a group

Miklós Abért

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We show that for any finite group G and for any d there exists a word $w \in F_d$ such that a d -tuple in G satisfies w if and only if it generates a solvable subgroup. In particular, if G itself is not solvable, then it cannot be obtained as a quotient of the one relator group $F_d/\langle w \rangle$.

As a corollary, the probability that a word is satisfied in a fixed non-solvable group can be made arbitrarily small, answering a question of Alon Amit.

1 Introduction

Let F_n denote the free group on n letters and let G be a group. For $w \in F_n$ we say that the n -tuple $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$ satisfies w if the substitution $w(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = 1$. Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1 *Let G be a finite group. Then for all n there exists a word $w \in F_n$ such that for all $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$, the tuple $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ satisfies w if and only if the subgroup $\langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \rangle \leq G$ is solvable.*

Note that if G itself is not solvable, then a word as in Theorem 1 has to use at least $n - 2$ letters of F_n . Indeed, if w omits at least two letters, then any two elements of G generates a solvable subgroup, which, using a theorem of Thompson [Tho] (see also [Fla]) implies that G itself is solvable.

For $w \in F_n$ let $F_n/\langle w \rangle$ denote the one-relator group defined by w . As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1, we get the following.

Corollary 2 *Let G be a finite non-solvable group. Then for all n there exists a word $w \in F_n$ such that G is not a quotient of $F_n / \langle w \rangle$.*

We suspect that this property holds exactly when G is not solvable.

Question 1. *Let G be a finite solvable group. Does there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq k$ and every $w \in F_n$ the one-relator group $F_n / \langle w \rangle$ has a surjective homomorphism to G ?*

For $w \in F_n$ let $P(G, w)$ denote the probability that for n independent uniform random elements $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ we have $w(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1$. Note that $P(G, w)$ only depends on the word w and not on n so we can assume $w \in F_\infty$.

The probabilities $P(G, w)$ have been investigated in the literature mainly for a fixed word and running G . The strongest result in this direction is of Dixon, Pyber, Seress and Shalev [DPSS] who proved that for any fixed word $1 \neq w$ the probability $P(G, w)$ tends to 0 in the size of G assuming that G is non-abelian simple. In this paper we will fix the finite group G and let w run through F_∞ .

Alon Amit [Ami] has shown that if G is nilpotent then there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on G only such that for all $w \in F_\infty$ we have $P(G, w) > c$. Note that this answers Question 1 affirmatively for nilpotent groups. He conjectures that the same holds if G is solvable and that if G is nilpotent then actually

$$P(G, w) \geq \frac{1}{|G|} \text{ for all } w \in F_\infty.$$

He also asked if in turn for a non-solvable finite group G the probability $P(G, w)$ can be made arbitrarily small with a suitable $w \in F_\infty$.

It is easy to see that Theorem 1 already answers Amit's question affirmatively, but the following stronger result also holds. A group G is *just non-solvable* if every proper quotient of G is solvable, but G itself is not.

Theorem 3 *Let G be a finite just non-solvable group. Then the set*

$$\{P(G, w) \mid w \in F_\infty\}$$

is dense in $[0, 1]$.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to Alon Amit for communicating his results and questions to him and to Laci Pyber for helpful advices on how to present the paper.

2 Proofs

Let us introduce some notation. Let G be a just non-solvable group and let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . Then $N \cong S^m$ for some simple group S . By the minimality of G the quotient G/N is solvable, so S is non-abelian and $Z(N) = 1$, which implies that $G/Z_G(N)$ is non-solvable so $Z_G(N) = 1$. Then G embeds into the wreath product

$$\text{Aut}(N) \cong \text{Aut}(S) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(m)$$

where $\text{Sym}(m)$ denotes the symmetric group on m letters and by the minimality of N , G has a transitive image in $\text{Sym}(m)$. Since G/N is solvable, N is a characteristic subgroup of G . Also, every nontrivial normal subgroup $K \triangleleft G$ contains N (using the minimality of N and that G is just non-solvable). Finally, if in addition $K = K'$ (the commutator subgroup of K), then $K = N$.

From now on G , N , S and m will be as above. Let $G_j \cong G$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), let

$$P = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$$

and let

$$\pi_j : P \rightarrow G_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)$$

denote the projection to the j -th coordinate. Let

$$N \cong N_j \triangleleft G_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

let $N_j = S_{j,1} \times \cdots \times S_{j,m}$ where $S_{j,i} \cong S$ and let

$$M = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_n \triangleleft P.$$

The first lemma is folklore.

Lemma 4 *If $S_1, \dots, S_n$ are nonabelian finite simple groups, then every normal subgroup $K \triangleleft S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ is of the form*

$$K = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_n$$

where $K_i = S_i$ or 1 ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

The next lemma tells us about the normal subgroup structure of subgroups of P which project onto each G_j .

Lemma 5 *Let $H \leq P$ be a subgroup containing M such that*

$$\pi_j(H) = G_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)$$

Let K be a normal subgroup of H . Then

$$K \cap M = \bigotimes_{\pi_j(K) \neq 1} N_j$$

Proof. $K \cap M$ is normal in

$$M \cong \bigotimes_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq m} S_{j,i}$$

so by Lemma 4 it is the direct product of some of the $S_{j,i}$, that is,

$$K \cap M = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_n$$

where $K_j \triangleleft N_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$).

If $\pi_j(K) = 1$ then $K \cap N_j = 1$ so $K_j = 1$.

If $\pi_j(K) \neq 1$ then $\pi_j(K) \triangleleft \pi_j(H) = G_j$ so $N_j \subseteq \pi_j(K)$, since N_j is a minimal normal subgroup in G_j . In this case

$$K \cap M \supseteq [K, M] \supseteq [K, N_j] = [\pi_j(K), N_j] = N_j$$

so $K_j = N_j$ (here we use the direct product form and that the commutator $[N_j, N_j] = N_j$).

The lemma holds. ■

Let

$$(a_1, \dots, a_k), (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in G^k$$

be k -tuples from G . We say that $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ are *automorphism independent over G* if there exists no $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $a_i^\alpha = b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Our next lemma shows that subgroups of $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ satisfying some natural conditions contain $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_n$.

Lemma 6 *Let $a_{i,j} \in G_j$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq n$), such that we have*

$$\langle a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{k,j} \rangle = G_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)$$

and that for all $1 \leq j < l \leq n$ the k -tuples $(a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{k,j})$ and $(a_{1,l}, \dots, a_{k,l})$ are automorphism independent over G . For $1 \leq i \leq k$ let

$$h_i = (a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n}) \in G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$$

and let

$$H = \langle h_1, \dots, h_k \rangle \leq G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$$

Then

$$M = N_1 \times \dots \times N_n \leq H.$$

Proof. Let

$$f : G_1 \times \dots \times G_n \rightarrow G_1 \times \dots \times G_{n-1}$$

denote the projection to the first $n - 1$ coordinates. Let $H_1 = f(H)$ and let

$$R = \pi_n(\text{Ker}(f)) \leq G_n.$$

By induction on n , we have $N_1 \times \dots \times N_{n-1} \leq H_1$. Also R is normal in G_n so by the minimality of N_n in G_n either $N_n \leq R$ or $R = 1$.

We claim that $N_n \leq R$. Assume $R = 1$. Let us define the function $\varphi : H_1 \rightarrow G_n$ by

$$\varphi(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) = g_n \text{ if } (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n) \in H.$$

Then φ is well-defined, since

$$(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g_n), (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g'_n) \in H$$

implies $g_n^{-1}g'_n \in R$. So φ is a homomorphism. Using $\langle a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{k,n} \rangle = G_n$ we also see that φ is surjective.

Let $K = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. Then K is normal in H_1 and

$$H_1/K \cong G_n \cong G$$

which is not solvable. Since $N_1 \times \dots \times N_{n-1} \subseteq H_1$, the use of Lemma 5 for H_1 and K gives us

$$K \cap M = \bigotimes_{\pi_j(K) \neq 1} N_j.$$

Now $M \subseteq K$ would imply that H_1/K is solvable, a contradiction. So there exists a coordinate $1 \leq l < n$ such that $\pi_l(K) = 1$, that is, $K \subseteq \text{Ker}(\pi_l)$. Moreover

$$H_1/\text{Ker}(\pi_l) \cong G_l \cong G$$

which implies $K = \text{Ker}(\pi_l)$. This shows that the function $\alpha : G_l \rightarrow G_n$ defined by

$$\alpha(g_l) = g_n \text{ if } (g_1, \dots, g_l, \dots, g_n) \in H$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, $\alpha(a_{i,l}) = a_{i,n}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$), so the k -tuples $(a_{1,l}, \dots, a_{k,l})$ and $(a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{k,n})$ are not automorphism independent over G which contradicts the assumptions of the lemma. So the claim $N_n \leq R$ holds and so $1 \times \dots \times 1 \times N_n \leq H$.

Now let $L = f^{-1}(N_1 \times \dots \times N_{n-1}) \leq H$. Let $L^{(i)}$ denote the i -th element of the derived series of L and let r be a number such that $L^{(r)} = L^{(r+1)}$. Then $f(L^{(r)}) = N_1 \times \dots \times N_{n-1}$ and since $1 \times \dots \times 1 \times N_n \leq L$ also $1 \times \dots \times 1 \times N_n \leq L^{(r)}$. Now $J = \pi_n(L^{(r)})$ is normal in G_n , $N_n \leq J$ and $J' = J$, so $J = N_n$. This implies

$$L^{(r)} = N_1 \times \dots \times N_n \leq H$$

what we wanted to prove. ■

Remark. This lemma is well-known in the subcase when G is a nonabelian finite simple group (see [KaL], or [Wie]). We will state a light corollary of that which we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 7 *Let G_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be finite nonabelian simple groups and let*

$$H \leq G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$$

such that the projections $\pi_i(H) = G_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then there exists $g \in H$ such that $\pi_i(g) \neq 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 1$ the lemma is trivial. By induction we have an element $g \in H$ such that $\pi_i(g) \neq 1$ ($1 \leq i < n$). If the last coordinate is automorphism dependent on some previous coordinate k then $\pi_k(g) \neq 1$ implies $\pi_n(g) \neq 1$. If it is not, then $1 \times \dots \times 1 \times G_n \leq H$ and we can set the last coordinate of g as we wish. ■

Let S be a set of finite simple groups. We say that a finite group G is in $\text{Comp}(S)$ if all nonabelian composition factors of G are in S . An affirmative

answer for the following question would be a far-reaching generalization of Theorem 1.

Question 2. *Let S be a finite set of finite simple groups. Is it true that for all n there exists $w \in F_n$ such that every quotient of the one-relator group $F_n / \langle w \rangle$ which lies in $\text{Comp}(S)$ is solvable?*

Note that we don't know the answer even in the case when S consists of one simple group.

Now we prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let m be the number of maximal subgroups of G . Let $d > \log_2 m$ be an integer to be chosen later. The probability that d independent random elements all fall into a fixed maximal subgroup M is at most $|G : M|^{-d} \leq 2^{-d}$ so the probability that d random elements do not generate G is at most $m2^{-d} < 1$. In particular, G can be generated by d elements. Let

$$S = \{(g_1, \dots, g_d) \in G^d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d \text{ generate } G\}$$

be the set of generating d -tuples.

Now $\text{Aut}(G)$ acts on S by $(g_1, \dots, g_d)^\alpha = (g_1^\alpha, \dots, g_d^\alpha)$ where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. This action is fixed-point free, as if α fixes all the elements of a generating set then it fixes the whole G . Let r be the number of $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits and let $t_1, \dots, t_r \in S$ be an orbit representative system.

It is easy to see that the conditions of Lemma 6 hold for $a_{i,j} = t_j(i)$. This implies that the r -tuples

$$h_i = (t_1(i), \dots, t_r(i))$$

generate a group H which contains $N_1 \times \dots \times N_r$.

Let $1 \neq g \in N$, let $k \leq r$ be a natural number to be chosen later and let the r -tuple h be defined by

$$h(i) = 1 \ (1 \leq i \leq k) \text{ and } h(i) = g \ (k < i \leq r)$$

Then $h \in N_1 \times \dots \times N_r \subseteq H$, so there exists a word $w \in F_d$ such that $w(h_1, \dots, h_d) = h$.

Now let us evaluate w on the set of possible d -tuples from G . We completely control the evaluation on generating tuples; since

$$w(g_1^\alpha, \dots, g_d^\alpha) = (w(g_1, \dots, g_d))^\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G))$$

we have

$$|\{(g_1, \dots, g_d) \in S \mid w(g_1, \dots, g_d) = 1\}| = k |\text{Aut}(G)|.$$

On d -tuples $(g_1, \dots, g_d)$ not generating G we do not control $w(g_1, \dots, g_d)$. This gives the estimate

$$k \frac{|\text{Aut}(G)|}{|G|^d} \leq P(G, w) \leq k \frac{|\text{Aut}(G)|}{|G|^d} + m2^{-d}$$

and for $k = r$ we get

$$k \frac{|\text{Aut}(G)|}{|G|^d} = \frac{|S|}{|G|^d} \geq 1 - m2^{-d}.$$

Since d can be chosen to be arbitrarily large, both $|\text{Aut}(G)| / |G|^d$ and $m2^{-d}$ get arbitrarily small. Now $k \leq r$ is arbitrary which shows that the set

$$\{P(G, w) \mid w \in F_\infty\}$$

is dense in $[0, 1]$. ■

The answer to Amit's question follows as an easy corollary of Theorem 3.

Corollary 8 *Let G be a finite non-solvable group. Then the set*

$$\{P(G, w) \mid w \in F_\infty\}$$

accumulates in 0.

Proof. Let K be a normal subgroup in G such that G/K is just non-solvable and let $g_1, \dots, g_n$ be independent uniform random elements of G . Then $g_1K, \dots, g_nK$ are independent uniform random elements of G/K which yields

$$P(G/K, w) = P(w(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in K) \geq P(w(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1) = P(G, w)$$

for $w \in F_\infty$. Using Theorem 3 we get that for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have $w \in F_\infty$ such that

$$P(G, w) \leq P(G/K, w) < \epsilon$$

and so the corollary holds. ■

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. For each subgroup $H \leq G$ let us choose a homomorphism

$$\varphi_H : H \rightarrow .$$

as follows. If H is solvable then let $\varphi_H = \text{Id}$ be the identity, otherwise let φ_H be a homomorphism to a just non-solvable quotient of H .

Let us enumerate all the n -tuples from G as $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$ where $k = |G|^n$. Let $t_{i,j}$ denote the j -th element of t_i ($1 \leq j \leq n$). For $1 \leq i \leq k$ let

$$H_i = \langle t_{i,1}, t_{i,2}, \dots, t_{i,n} \rangle$$

Let $\varphi_i = \varphi_{H_i}$ and let $G_i = \varphi_i(H_i)$. Let N_i be the minimal normal subgroup of G_i if G_i is just non-solvable, otherwise let $N_i = 1$. Also let

$$u_{i,j} = \varphi_i(t_{i,j}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n)$$

and let

$$p_j = (u_{1,j}, u_{2,j}, \dots, u_{k,j}) \in G_1 \times \dots \times G_k \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)$$

Let

$$L = \langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \rangle \leq G_1 \times \dots \times G_k$$

and let

$$\pi_i : G_1 \times \dots \times G_k \rightarrow G_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq k)$$

denote the projection to the i -th coordinate. Then $\pi_i(L) = G_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Let $L^{(i)}$ denote the i -th derived subgroup of L and let r be an integer such that $M = L^{(r)} = L^{(r+1)}$. Then $\pi_i(M) \triangleleft G_i$ and $\pi_i(M)' = \pi_i(M)$ so $\pi_i(M) = N_i$. Now all the $N_i \neq 1$ are isomorphic to some direct power of a nonabelian simple group so M lies in a direct product of nonabelian simple groups and projects to each factor of the product. By Corollary 7 there exists an element $g \in M \leq L$ such that $\pi_i(g) \neq 1$ if and only if $N_i \neq 1$. Let $w \in F_n$ be a word such that $w(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = g$.

We claim that this w will be good for our purposes. Indeed, we have

$$\pi_i(g) = w(u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,n}) = w(\varphi_i(t_{i,1}), \dots, \varphi_i(t_{i,n})) = \varphi_i(w(t_{i,1}, \dots, t_{i,n}))$$

Now if H_i is solvable then φ_i is the identity map and $\pi_i(g) = 1$, so we get $w(t_{i,1}, \dots, t_{i,n}) = 1$. If H_i is not solvable, then $\pi_i(g) \neq 1$ and since φ_i is a homomorphism we have $w(t_{i,1}, \dots, t_{i,n}) \neq 1$. The theorem holds. ■

Remark on Question 1. Let G be a finite group for which there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $w \in F_\infty$ we have $P(G, w) > c$. Then as we saw for large enough d most of the d -tuples generate G and so for every word $w \in F_d$ there exists a generating set $\langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle = G$ such that $w(g_1, \dots, g_d) = 1$, that is, G is a quotient of the one-relator group $F_d / \langle w \rangle$. In particular, Amit's result [Ami] implies an affirmative answer for Question 1 for finite nilpotent groups.

References

- [Ami] A. Amit, On equations in nilpotent groups, in preparation
- [Fla] P. Flavell, Finite groups in which every two elements generate a soluble subgroup, *Invent. Math.* 121 (1995), no. 2, 279–285.
- [KaL] W.M. Kantor and A. Lubotzky, The probability of generating a finite classical group, *Geom. Dedicata* 36 (1990), no. 1, 67–87.
- [DPSS] J.D. Dixon, L. Pyber, Á. Seress and A. Shalev, Residual properties of free groups and probabilistic methods, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 556 (2003), 159–172.
- [Tho] J. G. Thompson, Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74, 1968, 383–437.
- [Wie] J. Wiegold, Growth sequences of finite groups III., *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* 25 (1978), no. 2, 142–144.